

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,764	01/16/2004	Akira Yamaguchi	09792909-5771	6420	
26263 SONNENSCH	7590 04/29/201 IEIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60666-1080			DOVE, TR	DOVE, TRACY MAE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHCAGO, II 30000-1000		1795			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,764	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TRACY DOVE	1795	

	TRACY DOVE	1795						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the f	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which labeas the splication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on	liance with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4	Slad within two worth	a of the date of					
 I he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extel Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.			PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the control of the contro		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	rided below of appended.							
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 16-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-15.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
TDAOY DOVE								
	/TRACY DOVE/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues Takeuchi teaches the carbonaceous diluent is added to the cathode and does not teach or suggest the carbonaceous diluent is added to the anota. Examiner disagrees. Takeuchi teaches the carbonaceous additives are useful as conductive diluents when mixted with solid electrode active materials, such as metals, metal oxide, metal sulfides, mixed metal oxides and carbonaceous materials, for the purpose of aiding in discharge rate capability of the camige transfer active materials (2:36-41). While Takeuchi teaches the carbonaceous additives are particularly useful in the cathod (1:8-20), Takeuchi is not limited to any particular preferred embodiment. Takeuchi teaches the carbonaceous additives are useful as conductive diluents when mixed with solid electrode active materials such as metals. The anote active materials disclosed are metals (not none of the disclosed actived active materials are metals, but are metal oxides, metal sulfides or carbon materials (columns 4-5)). Takeuchi teaches the carbonaceous additive are added to an electrode (cathode or anode).

Applicant argues Mitsufumi does not teach that the polymer binder is part of the liquid alkaline electrolyte solution, but is added to the active material. However, Missufumi teaches that the battery comprises a polyolefin such as polyethylene (0028). Polyethylene is clearly "a polymer material".